

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,112	09/10/2003	Kenneth L. Luskey	016325-003721US	6844
	7590 04/09/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	ANDERSON, JAMES D		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			' ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	04/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,112	LUSKEY ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	James D. Anderson	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	······································	
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	Magningum*
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) \(\square\) No reply has been received.	,	
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 	5).	• • •
), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is :
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review
7. X The reason(s) below:		
The time period for filing an Appeal Brief (Notice of that no Appeal Brief was filed.	Appeal filed 8/24/2007) has expire	ed. Attorney of record verified
See PTOL-413 and 461.	Myllis Apwack PHYLLIS SPIVACK	James D. Anderson, Ph.D. Patent Examiner AU 1614
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Communication Box Annual	10/660,112	LUSKEY ET AL.				
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	James D. Anderson	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:						
(a) it was not timely filed.						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).						
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$						
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.						
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:						
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).						
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$						
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).						
3. ☑ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:						
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on						
(d)						
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:						
(a) 🗵 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.						
 (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED. 						
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.						